



# **CORE STRATEGY REPORT OF REPRESENTATIONS**

## **ADDENDUM**

**September 2012**

This publication is an **Addendum** to the **Report of Representations for the Pre-Submission Core Strategy** it assesses five representations which inadvertently excluded from the analysis of the main consultation on the Pre-Submission Core Strategy.

#### **Obtaining this information in other formats**

- If you would like this information in any other language, please contact us.
- If you would like this information in another format, such as large print or audio tape, please contact us

at [strategic.planning@dacorum.gov.uk](mailto:strategic.planning@dacorum.gov.uk) or 01442 228660.

## Introduction

1. This Addendum reports five representations which were not included in the Report of Representations: i.e.
  - A representation to policy CS3 from Cala Homes – which had been incorporated with other representations
  - Four representations from Bovingdon Parish Council – which had been missed. [The representations relate to Policy CS3, Table 8, Paragraph 24.2 and local allocation LA6]
2. All representations were received as part of the main consultation on the Pre-Submission Core Strategy.
3. The representations are recorded in the same format as for the main Report of Representations:
  - Table 1 presents the basic statistics
  - Table 2 records the issues and Council's response.
4. No changes are proposed in response to the representations.

## Addendum to Table 1: Number of Representations Considered

- The sum of the objections (columns 5 – 9) in each row does not necessarily equal the total objecting in column 4. An objector may give more than one reason for their objection.
- Representations recorded against a section heading relate to the *whole* of that section

### (A) From the Main Consultation

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations							
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
				saying the core strategy is				
				<i>not legally compliant</i>	<i>not justified</i>	<i>not effective</i>	<i>inconsistent with national policy</i>	
<b>Part B – The Strategy</b>								
<b>The Sustainable Development Strategy</b>								
<b>8. Promoting Sustainable Development</b>								
<b>Policy CS3</b>	2	0	2	0	2	2	1	-
<b>Providing Homes and Community Services</b>								
<b>14. Providing Homes</b>								
Table 8	1	0	1	0	1	1	-	-
<b>Place Strategies</b>								
<b>24. Bovingdon</b>								
Delivering the Vision: 24.2-5	1	0	1	0	1	1	-	-
Proposal LA6	1	0	1	0	1	1	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>

## Addendum to Table 2: Main Issues raised

**Table 2a: Main Issues raised - Part A – Context (Main Consultation)**

<b>Notes:</b>	Nature of the Issue		Issue previously raised	
			New Issue	
		<b>S</b>	Significant New Issue	
	Nature of the Amendment	<b>MC</b>	Minor Change (excluding editorial changes here)	See Table 3
		<b>SC</b>	Significant Change	None recommended

**The column headed – Nature of the Issue – is intended for internal use by Dacorum Council.**

New issue - i.e. it has not been raised in any comparable form before.

Significant issue: i.e. one which substantively challenges the direction, meaning or intention of a policy or proposal in the Core Strategy.

**The remaining information is important for the Examination into the Core Strategy.**

**Amendments:** the Council considered whether it thought changes were minor or significant.

Significant change: i.e. a substantive change to the direction, intention or meaning of a policy in the Core Strategy, requiring general public consultation before submission of the Core Strategy to the Secretary of State: the clarification, correction or postponing of the detail of policy to a later stage have not been construed as being significant changes.

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Objections	Issue	Nature of the Issue	Response	Amendment reference
Policy CS3	2	Delete the policy.		See responses in Table 2 (Page 19)	
		Specify that there is no acceptable alternative greenfield site to local allocation LA6 at Bovingdon. [There is no statement explaining what happens if local allocations are not implemented]		No change. The Council has assessed alternatives at Bovingdon and elsewhere and prefers LA6. The deliverability of LA6 has been assessed and will be the subject of a Statement of Common Ground with the landowners. All other local allocations are similarly being assessed. The overall housing supply is considered robust. However over a long plan period the Council cannot guarantee that an additional allocation will never be required anywhere or that locally there may be a need which merits some flexibility in interpreting Core Strategy Policy; e.g. through a neighbourhood plan.	MC6
Table 8	1	Qualify the number of dwellings for Bovingdon [reduce by 60 if LA6 does not proceed]		No change. The figure for Bovingdon is a local housing objective (not a precise target) it is robust and provides for some local housing need to be met within the constraints of the village. The Council expects it to be delivered through Policy CS17 the Council will monitor the borough target and housing land supply very carefully. Corrective action can be taken if necessary; but options would be considered at that time.	
24.2-5	1	Delete reference to a residential care home		No change. This is an issue emanating from the Councils place work. There is no residential care home in Bovingdon	

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Objections	Issue	Nature of the Issue	Response	Amendment reference
				(although there is sheltered housing). For a settlement of Bovingdon's size, it is not unreasonable to allow for its provision. LA6 is too small to accommodate a general range of housing and a care home.	
LA6	1	Specify that 60% of the homes should be provided as specialist accommodation for the elderly [the remaining 40% would be affordable homes]		No change. Some homes would be expected to be provided for elderly people. However the level of restriction by the new housing suggested appears unreasonable. It is furthermore an inappropriate level of detail for the Core Strategy. The mix of homes can be considered fully through the Site Allocations DPD and any future planning application.	